EXHIBIT 2

Modified Redacted Version of Dkt. 1112-16

Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 1117-5 Filed 07/05/24 Page 2 of 7 CONFIDENTIAL

1	** CONFIDENTIAL **		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
5	Case No. 5:20-cv-5146-LHK		
6	x		
	PATRICK CALHOUN, ELAINE CRESPO,		
7	HADIYAH JACKSON and CLAUDIA		
	KINDLER, on behalf of all		
8	others similarly situated,		
9	Plaintiffs,		
10			
11	- against -		
12			
13	GOOGLE LLC,		
14	Defendant.		
	x		
15	(Caption Continued)		
16	November 24, 2021		
	9:06 a.m.		
17			
	VOLUME II		
18			
19	Continued Videotaped Deposition of		
20	ABDELKARIM MARDINI, taken by Plaintiffs,		
21	pursuant to Notice, held via Zoom		
22	videoconference, before Todd DeSimone, a		
23	Registered Professional Reporter and Notary		
24	Public of the States of New York and New		
25	Jersey.		
		_	
		Page	240

Case 4:20-cv-03664-YGR Document 1117-5 Filed 07/05/24 Page 3 of 7 CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIL	DENTIAL
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN JOSE DIVISION 4 Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK 5	1 A P P E A R A N C E S: (Continued) 2 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 44 Montgomery Street 3 41st Floor San Francisco, California 94104 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Brown Case 5 BY: BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. brichardson@bsfllp.com 6 ERIKA NYBORG-BURCH, ESQ. enyborg-burch@bsfllp.com 7 8 9 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 191 North Wacker Drive 10 Suite 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60606 11 Attorneys for Defendant BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO, ESQ. 12 andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com TEUTA FANI, ESQ. 13 teutafani@quinnemanuel.com 14 15 16 ALSO PRESENT: 17 MATTHEW GUBIOTTI, Google LLC 18 MARC FRIEDMAN, Videographer 19 20 21 22
23	23
24 25	24 25
Page 241	Page 243
1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP 555 12th Street 3 Suite 1600 Oakland, California 94607 4 Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Calhoun case 5 BY: LESLEY E. WEAVER, ESQ. lweaver@bfalaw.com 6 7 8 DICELLO LEVITT GUTZLER LLC One Grand Central Place 9 60 East 42nd Street Suite 2400 10 New York, New York 10165 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 11 in Calhoun case BY: DAVID A. STRAITE, ESQ. 12 dstraite@dicellolevitt.com 13 14 15 SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC 112 Madison Avenue 16 7th Floor New York, New York 10016 17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs in Calhoun case 18 BY: JASON "JAY" BARNES, ESQ. jaybarnes@simmonsfirm.com 19 AN TRUONG, ESQ. atruong@simmonsfirm.com 20 21 22 23 24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning We are going on the record at 9:06 a.m. aeastern standard time on Wednesday, November 24th, 2021. Please silence your cell phones, computer tone or any other electronic devices you have near you. Audio and video recording will continue to take place unless all parties agree to go off the record. This is media unit number one for the video-recorded deposition of AbdelKarim Mardini, Volume II. The caption of this case is Patrick Calhoun, et al., versus Google LLC. This case is filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, case number 5:20-CV-5146. Second caption, Chasom Brown, et al., versus Google LLC. This case is also filed in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, case number
24 25	25 your certified video legal specialist, your
Page 242	Page 244

1 session, whereas if you want to block 1 Q. Correct, that that was never 2 signing in, it needs to definitely be in 2 implemented? Yes, it is correct that 3 the beginning. 3 4 blocking signing in while in Chrome 4 MR. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON: Let's 5 mark Exhibit 46, GOOG-BRWN-00182492. 5 incognito was never implemented. Q. And do you see at the top where (Mardini Exhibit 46 marked for 7 Mr. Halavati wrote "Can we still work on 7 identification.) 8 the plan to send the signal to Google to 8 Q. Mr. Mardini, would you please 9 let me know when you have Exhibit 46 in 9 delete logs from incognito browsing (at the 10 end)? Even if the effect of it might not 10 front of you. A. Exhibit 46, I see it now. Let 11 be large from technical point of view, from 11 12 me double click to open it. Yes, I opened 12 a psychological point of view it's much 13 more reassuring to be able to say 'You 13 it. 14 14 won't be logged' compared to 'Your log Mr. Mardini, is Exhibit 46 an 15 cannot be used"? 15 e-mail you sent as part of your work for 16 Google? 16 Do you see that? 17 A. It appears to be, yes. 17 Yes. A. And if you look down at the 18 Q. And you responded, "Ramin: I 19 bottom half do you see there is the "FWIW, 19 agree with you," right? 20 this is what I sent Anil/Parisa/ Margret"? Yeah. 20 A. 21 21 A. Yes. Q. And so what were you agreeing 22 Q. And so there is three bullets 22 with when you wrote "Ramin: I agree with 23 there, correct, one is "blocking signing-in 23 you"? 24 while in incognito," the second is "sending 24 I agree that we should work on A. 25 signal to web properties to indicate user 25 a plan to send the signal to Google to Page 381 Page 383 1 is in incognito to delete server-side 1 delete logs. 2 logs," and the third is "cookie tracking 2 Did Google continue to work on 3 prevention by default." 3 that plan after you sent your e-mail on Do you see that? 4 November 6th, 2019? 5 Yes. 5 A. I don't remember. That was in A. Q. The third one, Google 6 2019 and I think we had then other 7 implemented that change in Chrome incognito 7 priorities. If I see this, this is the end 8 mode, correct? 8 of 2019, and, yeah, I think there were a 9 lot of complications related to -- this 9 A. Yes. 10 That is ChromeGuard, right? 10 obviously will involve the Search team and Q. 11 A. Correct. 11 the Google back-end team and priorities And that was implemented in 12 were not aligned at the time and other 12 Q. 13 2020; is that right? 13 things came up. So I'm not sure actually Yes, if memory serves me 14 where that is right now. 14 15 correctly, it was around May or June 2020. Is that a project that is still 15 16 under consideration at Google, sending a And sending signal to web 17 signal to Google to delete logs from 17 properties to indicate user is in incognito 18 to delete server-side logs, that was never 18 incognito browsing? 19 implemented, correct? 19 A. I do not know. Not to my 20 A. I believe so, yeah, this was 20 knowledge. 21 never implemented. 21 Are you aware of Mr. Pichai 22 providing any input regarding this, what And blocking sign in while in 23 incognito, that was never implemented, 23 Mr. Halavati describes as plan to send the 24 correct? 24 signal to Google to delete logs from 25 incognito browsing? 25 A. Yes, that is correct.

Page 384

Page 382

1 That is my understanding at A. 2 this time, yes. But I have to confirm it 3 with the author of that table. Right. And these were 5 reductions in revenue based on blocking 6 third-party cookies by default in incognito 7 with ChromeGuard, correct? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection to the 9 form of the question, foundation, assumes 10 facts not in evidence. 11 That seems to be the case. 12 And if you go back to the page Q. 13 ending 210, where your name appears under 14 Chrome Key Metrics, there is a Chrome 15 overall traffic share per Google property, 16 and then the second box is Chrome incognito 17 mode traffic out of all Chrome traffic. Do 18 you see that? 19 A. Uh-huh. 20 Do you have an understanding as 21 to what that row represents, Chrome 22 incognito mode traffic out of all Chrome 23 traffic? 24 A. Yes. 25 What do you understand that to Q. 1 represent? A. That represents from all the 3 usage of Chrome, I think time spent in

1	don't know. But I can tell you that in
2	Chrome, this is what we measure, the
3	percentage of users who clicked on open new
4	incognito window.
5	Q. Were you the one who prepared
6	the calculations included in that row,
	Chrome incognito mode traffic out of all
8	Chrome traffic?
9	A. No, I was not the person
	involved in making that calculation,
11	specific calculation. I'm not sure what
12	the numbers also mean next to it.
13	Q. Do you know who was involved in
14	preparing that calculation?
15	A. Probably a Chrome data analyst,
16	Mr. Dullweber.
17	Q. Is this Exhibit 49 consistent
18	with your recollection regarding
19	ChromeGuard, that the annualized impact on
20	Google's revenue from ChromeGuard was
21	estimated as
22	per year?
23	A. That's what the document says,
24	yes. I don't recall the exact numbers.
25	Like I did not memorize the numbers, but
	Page 41

Page 413

1 that's what the document says.

2 Q. Let's look at what has been 3 marked as Exhibit 50.

Exhibit 50.

5 Which is GOOG-BRWN-00439740. O.

6 A. Yes, I have it in front of me. 7 And, Mr. Mardini, this is an O.

8 e-mail exchange that starts with

9 Mr. McClelland's e-mail at the back and 10 ends with an e-mail from you on the first

11 page. I only have questions about your

12 e-mail on the first page, but you're

13 welcome to review the document.

14 Okay. Could you give me a 15 moment to review the initial thread?

16 Because I don't think I remember that. It

17 is quite a long thread it seems.

(Witness perusing document.) 18

19 Okay, thank you.

Mr. Mardini, is Exhibit 50 an 20 Q.

21 e-mail that you sent as part of your work

22 with Google? 23 A. Yes, this appears to be the

24 case. 25 Q. Do you see where you wrote

Page 416

Page 414

- 4 Chrome, or page loaded in Chrome, I don't 5 know which exactly, how much percent of it
- 6 is in regular mode and how much percent of
- 7 it is in incognito mode.
- So was this an attempt by
- 9 Google to assess the portion of the Chrome
- 10 traffic that represented incognito mode
- 11 traffic?
- 12 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection to the
- 13 form of the question.
- A. I mean, as I mentioned, we know
- 15 when a user starts an incognito session, we
- 16 don't know what happens in the incognito
- 17 session on the Chrome side, and then we 18 know when the incognito session ended, and
- 19 then this data, as I said, is aggregated
- 20 and anonymized to give an overall picture 21 of the usage of incognito mode.
- The term "traffic" here is 22
- 23 ambiguous in my opinion, because I don't
- 24 know what exactly it means here. Traffic,
- 25 like is it number of kilobytes or what? I

	CONFID	DENTIAL
1	"Here is a chronological recap," and then	1 M83 was probably the full
	you include a series of dates and comments?	2 launch to 100 percent. But in the period
3	A. Yes.	3 between like January 2020 and May 2020, I
4	Q. What were you trying to convey	4 think there were multiple experiments and
5	there with that chronological recap?	5 measurements.
6	MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection to the	6 Q. That's very helpful. Would you
7	form of the question.	7 also look at what has been marked as
8	A. What I was trying to convey	8 Exhibit 51, which is GOOG-BRWN-00230425.
9	when I sent this e-mail is the full history	9 A. Yes.
10	of engagement with the Ads team related to	10 Q. And that's an e-mail from you
11	the ChromeGuard project. This e-mail is	11 that you sent as part of your work for
12	sent on May 5th, 2020, and you can see I	12 Google, correct?
13	was trying to say okay, here is what we	13 A. Yes.
14	have been discussing with them to refresh	14 Q. And that was an e-mail you sent
15	Matt and Parisa's memory.	15 on July 15th, 2020; is that right?
16	Matt was not on the team in	16 A. Yes.
17	August/September 2019, and I think Matt had	17 Q. And you start "I would like to
	just joined at that time, around that time,	18 give you an update on how our User Agency
	like in May 2020, so it is more, literally	19 moment for Chrome M83 has landed."
	what I said, like a recap so that we are	Do you see that?
	all on the same page and so that they know	21 A. Yes.
	the history of the engagements.	Q. And that list there includes
23	Q. Thank you. And the first part	23 ChromeGuard on Desktop and Android?
	of your recap says "August to September	24 A. Yes.
25	2019: We shared the plan to launch Page 417	25 Q. Does that refresh your
1	Page 417	Page 419
1	ChromeGuard in M79."	1 recollection that the full launch of
2	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that?	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83?
2 3	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83?3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection,
2 3 4	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79?	 2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony.
2 3 4 5	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection,	 2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague.	 2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of
2 3 4 5 6 7	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we	 2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not,	 2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and	 2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that.	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct,	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard?	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection,	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague.	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released? 18 A. It would have been around the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. No. I mean, I'm reading the	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released? 18 A. It would have been around the 19 May 2020 time frame.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. No. I mean, I'm reading the chronology with you right now as we are	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released? 18 A. It would have been around the 19 May 2020 time frame. 20 Q. So it's your understanding that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. No. I mean, I'm reading the chronology with you right now as we are speaking, because I don't remember now in	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released? 18 A. It would have been around the 19 May 2020 time frame.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. No. I mean, I'm reading the chronology with you right now as we are	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released? 18 A. It would have been around the 19 May 2020 time frame. 20 Q. So it's your understanding that 21 M83 was released in or in May 2020?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ChromeGuard in M79." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Did ChromeGuard launch in M79? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. I don't remember whether we launched a small experiment in M79 or not, but at the time, like in August and September 2019, we probably had a plan to launch it in M79, and this is the plan referenced here. Whether it launched in M79 or not, I do not recall whether we did that. Q. It launched in M83, correct, ChromeGuard? MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, foundation, vague. A. No. I mean, I'm reading the chronology with you right now as we are speaking, because I don't remember now in 2021 what happened at the end of 2019, and	2 ChromeGuard was part of M83? 3 MR. SCHAPIRO: Objection, 4 misstates the testimony. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And would that full launch of 7 ChromeGuard have been on or about July 8 15th, 2020? 9 A. Sorry, could you repeat the 10 question? 11 Q. I'm just trying to understand, 12 your e-mail here is from July 15th, 2020 13 talking about M83, and I'm asking was M83 14 released on or about July 15th, 2020? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Do you know when M83 was 17 launched or released? 18 A. It would have been around the 19 May 2020 time frame. 20 Q. So it's your understanding that 21 M83 was released in or in May 2020? 22 A. We can check that online. I

Page 420

Page 418

1 EXHIBITS 2 MARDINI DESCRIPTION PAGE	1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING, LLC
Exhibit 43 GOOG-BRWN-00051039- 358	2 CASE NAME: CALHOUN v. GOOGLE
3 00051048 Exhibit 44 GOOG-CABR-05269678- 358	3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/24/21 WITNESS' NAME: ABDELKARIM MARDINI
4 05269708 Exhibit 45 GOOG-CABR-00413286- 370	4 PAGE/LINE(S)/ CHANGE REASON
5 00413289 Exhibit 46 GOOG-BRWN-00182492- 381	5
6 00182495 Exhibit 47 GOOG-CABR-00410304- 386	6///
7 00410322 Exhibit 48 GOOG-BRWN-00454633- 403	7
8 00454634	8//
Exhibit 49 GOOG-CABR-04455208- 403 9 04455218	9
Exhibit 50 GOOG-BRWN-00439740- 403 10 00439745	10/ /
Exhibit 51 GOOG-BRWN-00230425- 403 11 00230427 403	11 _ / / / _ /
Exhibit 52 GOOG-CABR-04668451 430 12 Exhibit 53 GOOG-CABR-04739841- 430	12
04739845	13//
13 DIRECTIONS NOT TO ANSWER	14
14 Page Line	15 // /
15 (NONE) 16	16
17	17
REQUESTS 18	18/ /
Page Line 19 (NONE)	20 ABDELKARIM MARDINI
20 21	21 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DAY
22 23	22 OF, 2021. 23
24	NOTARY PUBLIC 24 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
25 Page 473	25 Page 475
1 agc 475	1 age 175
1 CERTIFICATION	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ.
1 CERTIFICATION 2	BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. brichardson@bsfllp.com
1 CERTIFICATION 2	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ.
1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and	BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. brichardson@bsfllp.com DECEMBER 1, 2021 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfilp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows:
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfilp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office.
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Locked PDF
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office.
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by lood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by lood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) — Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) — Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections.
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty 17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all 18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at 19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure.
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by lood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty 17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all 18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at 19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure. 20 Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty 17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all 18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at 19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure. 20 Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of 21 Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by lood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty 17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all 18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at 19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure. 20 Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of 21 Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature 22 as determined at the deposition.
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) - Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty 17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all 18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at 19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure. 20 Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of 21 Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature 22 as determined at the deposition.
CERTIFICATION I, TODD DeSIMONE, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That the witness whose testimony as herein set forth, was duly sworn by me; and that the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of December, 2021.	1 BEKO O. REBLITZ-RICHARDSON, ESQ. 2 brichardson@bsfllp.com 3 DECEMBER 1, 2021 4 RE: PATRICK CALHOUN v. GOOGLE LLC 5 NOVEMBER 24, 2021, ABDELKARIM MARDINI, VOL. II, JOB NO. 4962196 6 The above-referenced transcript has been 7 completed by Veritext Legal Solutions and 8 review of the transcript is being handled as follows: 9 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Contact Veritext 10 to schedule a time to review the original transcript at 11 a Veritext office. 12 Per CA State Code (CCP 2025.520 (a)-(e)) – Locked .PDF 13 Transcript - The witness should review the transcript and 14 make any necessary corrections on the errata pages included 15 below, notating the page and line number of the corrections. 16 The witness should then sign and date the errata and penalty 17 of perjury pages and return the completed pages to all 18 appearing counsel within the period of time determined at 19 the deposition or provided by the Code of Civil Procedure. 20 Waiving the CA Code of Civil Procedure per Stipulation of 21 Counsel - Original transcript to be released for signature 22 as determined at the deposition. 23 Signature Waived – Reading & Signature was waived at the